## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ELSA GULINO, MAYLING RALPH, PETER WILDS, and NIA GREENE, on behalf of themselves and all others

96 Civ. 8414 (KMW)

Plaintiffs,

- against -

similarly situated,

[PROPOSED]
JUDGMENT
FOR
RUTH
THOMAS

THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK,

Defendant.

......

WHEREAS, the Court certified a remedy-phase class of Plaintiffs (See Gulino v. Bd. of Educ. of the City Sch. Dist. of the City of N.Y., Opinion and Order, No. 96-cv-8414, [ECF No. 386]), and Ruth Thomas is a member of that class;

WHEREAS, the Court appointed a Special Master (*See* May 20, 2014 Order of Appointment, [ECF No. 435]; November 12, 2014 Second Amended Order of Appointment, [ECF No. 524]) to hear, among other things, demands for damages;

WHEREAS, the Special Master held a hearing on April 13, 2023, with respect to Ruth Thomas's demand for damages and Defendant's objections, [ECF No. 10763];

WHEREAS, the Special Master made, and the Court adopted, Classwide Conclusions of Law, [ECF Nos. 999, 1008];

WHEREAS, the Board of Education of the City School District of the City of New York ("BOE") and Plaintiffs entered into, and the Court so ordered, a Stipulation of Classwide Facts & Procedures, [ECF No. 1009];

WHEREAS, the BOE and Plaintiffs entered into, and the Court so ordered, an Eighth Supplemental Stipulation Concerning Admissibility of Exhibits, which attached an Eighth Supplemental Index of Exhibits (collectively referred to as the "Classwide Exhibits") filed with the Court, [ECF No. 11288];

WHEREAS, the Special Master made Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law for Ruth Thomas which the Plaintiffs and the BOE agree to, annexed hereto as Exhibit 1, that the Special Master recommends the Court adopt;

WHEREAS, the Special Master recommended, and the parties agreed with the Special Master's recommendation, that the Court certify this judgment as final pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b); and

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and BOE have agreed not to appeal this final judgment for Ruth Thomas.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the annexed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law for Ruth Thomas (Exhibit 1) is adopted;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Ruth Thomas will have judgment against the BOE in the amount of \$592,702, consisting of:

- 1. Backpay in the amount of \$479,063;
- 2. Tax-component award in the amount of \$56,290;
- 3. LAST Fees in the amount of \$79;
- 4. ASAF account award in the amount of \$2,067;
- 5. Pre-judgment interest calculated to be \$55,203; and
- 6. Pension-related relief pursuant to the terms of the Court's Order dated December 17, 2018 (Pension Stipulation & Order, [ECF No. 1014]).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Ruth Thomas will be entitled to the following non-monetary relief:

- 1. The BOE is ordered to amend its internal service, salary, payroll, and human resources systems as follows:
  - a. Incorporate the "Pension Inputs" detailed in Paragraph 3(d) of Exhibit 1;
  - b. Incorporate Ruth Thomas's counterfactual monthly service history, as listed on Exhibit B to the Findings and Fact and Conclusions of Law for Ruth Thomas; and
  - c. Grant Ruth Thomas retroactive seniority based on their counterfactual monthly service history, as described in Paragraph 3 of Exhibit 1.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Court adopts the Special Master's recommendation that this judgment be certified as final pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b) and expressly determines that there is no just reason for delay for the reasons stated in the Special Master's Report and Recommendation.

## Case 1:96-cv-08414-KMW Document 11510 Filed 05/29/24 Page 3 of 3

This Judgment	Entry is c	ertified an	d entered th	e Court	pursuant t	o Rule	54(b)	of the	Federal	Rule
of Civil Proced	ure.									

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**ENTERED** 

/s/ Kimba M. Wood